arXiv:1409.5462v1 [cs.SC] 18 Sep 2014

FAST AND DETERMINISTIC COMPUTATION OF THE DETERMINANT OF A POLYNOMIAL MATRIX

WEI ZHOU AND GEORGE LABAHN

Abstract. Given a square, nonsingular matrix of univariate polynomials $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times n}$ over a field $\mathbb{K}$, we give a deterministic algorithm for finding the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$. The complexity of the algorithm is $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega}s)$ field operations where s is the average column degree or the average row degree of $\mathbf{F}$. Here $O^{\sim}$ notation is Big-O with log factors omitted and ω is the exponent of matrix multiplication.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times n}$ be a square, nonsingular polynomial matrix with $\mathbb{K}$ a field. In this paper we give a deterministic algorithm for finding the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$. The complexity of the algorithm is $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega}s)$ field operations from $\mathbb{K}$ where s is the average column degree or the average row degree of $\mathbf{F}$. Here $O^{\sim}$ denotes O with $\log^{c}(nd)$ factors suppressed for some positive real constant c and ω is the exponent of matrix multiplication. The fact that the complexity of determinant computation is related to the complexity of matrix multiplication is well-known. In the case of matrices over a field, for example, Bunch and Hopcroft [5] showed that if there exists a fast algorithm for matrix multiplication then there also exists an algorithm for determinant computation with the same exponent.

In the case of square matrices of polynomials of degree at most d, Storjohann [13] gives a recursive deterministic algorithm to compute a determinant making use of fraction-free Gaussian elimination with a cost of $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega+1}d)$ operations. A $O(n^3d^2)$ deterministic algorithm was later given by Mulders and Storjohann [12], modifying their weak Popov form computation. Using low rank perturbations, Eberly, et al [7] gave a determinant algorithm requiring $O^{\sim}(n^{2+\omega/2}d)$ field operations, while Storjohann [14] used higher order lifting to give an algorithm which reduces the complexity to $(n^{\omega}(\log n)^2d^{1+\epsilon})$ field operations. Finally, we mention the algorithm of Giorgi et al [9] which computes the determinant with complexity $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega}d)$. However the algorithms in both [7] and [14] are both probabilistic, while the algorithm from [9] only works efficiently on a class of generic input matrices, matrices that are well behaved in the computation. Deterministic algorithms for general polynomial matrices with complexity similar to that of fast matrix multiplication have not appeared previously.

In the case of an arbitrary commutative ring (with multiplicative unit) or integers other fast determinant algorithms have been given by Kaltofen [10], Abbott et al [1], Eberly et al [7] and Kaltofen and Villard [11]. We refer the reader to the last named paper and the references therein for more details on efficient determinant computation of such matrices.

Our algorithm takes advantage of a fast algorithm [19] for computing a shifted minimal kernel basis to efficiently eliminate blocks of a polynomial matrix. More specifically, we use kernel bases to partition our input $\mathbf{F}$ as

$$\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1 & 0 \\ * & \mathbf{G}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\mathbf{U}$ unimodular. Such a unimodular transformation almost preserves the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$, but results in an extra factor coming from the determinant of the unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U}$, a nonzero field element in $\mathbb{K}$. The computation of the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$ can therefore be reduced to the computations of the determinants of $\mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\det \mathbf{G}_2$. The computations of $\det \mathbf{G}_1$ and $\det \mathbf{G}_2$

Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo ON, Canada N2L 3G1 **{w2zhou,glabahn}@uwaterloo.ca**.

are similar to the original problem of computing $\det \mathbf{F}$, but with input matrices of lower dimension and possibly higher degrees. To achieve the desired efficiency, however, these computations need to be done without actually determining the unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U}$, since its potential large degree size may prevent it from being efficiently computed. We show how the determinant of $\mathbf{U}$ can be computed without actually computing the entire unimodular multiplier $\mathbf{U}$. In addition, for fast, recursive computation, the degrees of each of the diagonal blocks need to be controlled in order to ensure that these are also not too large. We accomplish this by making use of the concepts of *shifted* minimal kernel bases and column bases of polynomial matrices. Shifts basically allow us to control the computations using column degrees rather than the degree of the polynomial matrix. This becomes an issue when the degrees of the input columns vary considerably from column to column (and hence to the degree of the input). The shifted kernel and column bases computations can be done efficiently using algorithms from [19] and [17]. We remark that the use of shifted minimal kernel bases and column bases, used in the context of fast block elimination, have also been used for deterministic algorithms for inversion [20] and unimodular completion [18] of polynomial matrices.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give preliminary information for shifted degrees, kernel and column bases of polynomial matrices. Section 3 then contains the algorithm for recursively computing the diagonal elements of a triangular form and a method to compute the determinants of the unimodular matrices. The paper ends with a conclusion and topics for future research.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give the basic definitions and properties of *shifted degree*, *minimal kernel basis* and *column basis* for a matrix of polynomials. These will be the building blocks used in our algorithm.

2.1. Shifted Degrees.

Our methods makes use of the concept of *shifted* degrees of polynomial matrices [3], basically shifting the importance of the degrees in some of the rows of a basis. For a column vector $\mathbf{p} = [p_1, \ldots, p_n]^T$ of univariate polynomials over a field $\mathbb{K}$, its column degree, denoted by $\operatorname{cdeg} \mathbf{p}$, is the maximum of the degrees of the entries of $\mathbf{p}$, that is,

$$\operatorname{cdeg}\ \mathbf{p} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \deg p_i.$$

The *shifted column degree* generalizes this standard column degree by taking the maximum after shifting the degrees by a given integer vector that is known as a *shift*. More specifically, the shifted column degree of $\mathbf{p}$ with respect to a shift $\vec{s} = [s_1, \ldots, s_n] \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, or the *$\vec{s}$-column degree* of $\mathbf{p}$ is

$$\operatorname{cdeg}_{\vec{s}}\ \mathbf{p} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} [\deg p_i + s_i] = \deg(x^{\vec{s}} \cdot \mathbf{p}),$$

where

$$x^{\vec{s}} = \operatorname{diag}\ (x^{s_1}, x^{s_2}, \ldots, x^{s_n})\ .$$

For a matrix $\mathbf{P}$, we use $\operatorname{cdeg} \mathbf{P}$ and $\operatorname{cdeg}_{\vec{s}} \mathbf{P}$ to denote respectively the list of its column degrees and the list of its shifted $\vec{s}$-column degrees. When $\vec{s} = [0, \ldots, 0]$, the shifted column degree specializes to the standard column degree.

Shifted degrees have been used previously in polynomial matrix computations and in generalizations of some matrix normal forms [4]. The shifted column degree is equivalent to the notion of *defect* commonly used in the literature.

Along with shifted degrees we also make use of the notion of a matrix polynomial being column (or row) reduced. A polynomial matrix $\mathbf{F}$ is column reduced if the leading column coefficient matrix, that is the matrix

$$[\operatorname{coeff}(f, x, d_j)]_{1 \le i,j \le n},\ \text{with } \vec{d} = \operatorname{cdeg}\ \mathbf{F},$$

has full rank. A polynomial matrix $\mathbf{F}$ is $\vec{s}$-column reduced if $x^{\vec{s}}\mathbf{F}$ is column reduced.

The usefulness of the shifted degrees can be seen from their applications in polynomial matrix computation problems [16, 18, 19, 20]. One of its uses is illustrated by the following lemma, which follows directly from the definition of shifted degree.

Lemma 1. *Let $\vec{s}$ be a shift whose entries bound the corresponding column degrees of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K}^{*\times m}$. Then for any polynomial matrix $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times *}$, the column degrees of $\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{B}$ are bounded by the corresponding $\vec{s}$-column degrees of $\mathbf{B}$.*

An essential subroutine needed in our algorithm, also based on the use of the shifted degrees, is the efficient multiplication of a pair of matrices $\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{B}$ with unbalanced degrees. The following result follows as a special case of [15, Theorem 5.6]. The notation $\sum \vec{s}$, for any list $\vec{s}$, denotes the sum of all entries in $\vec{s}$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times m}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times n}$ be given, $m \le n$. Suppose $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\ge 0}$ is a shift that bounds the corresponding column degrees of $\mathbf{A}$, and $\sum \vec{s} \ge \sum \operatorname{cdeg}_{\vec{s}} \mathbf{B}$. Then the product $\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{B}$ can be computed in $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega}s)$ field operations from $\mathbb{K}$, where $s = \sum \vec{s}/n$ is the average of the entries of $\vec{s}$.*

2.2. **Kernel and Column Bases.** The kernel of $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times n}$ is the $\mathbb{F}[x]$-module

$$\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n \mid \mathbf{F}\mathbf{p} = 0\}$$

with a kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ being a basis of this module. Formally, we have:

Definition 3. Given $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times n}$, a polynomial matrix $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times k}$ is a (right) kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ if the following properties hold:

(1) $\mathbf{N}$ is full-rank.
(2) $\mathbf{N}$ satisfies $\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{N} = 0$.
(3) Any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n$ satisfying $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{q} = 0$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the columns of $\mathbf{N}$, that is, there exists some polynomial vector $\mathbf{p}$ such that $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{p}$.

It is not difficult to show that any pair of kernel bases $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ of $\mathbf{F}$ are unimodularly equivalent, that is, $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{M}\cdot\mathbf{V}$ for some unimodular matrix $\mathbf{V}$.

A $\vec{s}$-minimal kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ is just a kernel basis that is $\vec{s}$-column reduced.

Definition 4. Given $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times n}$, a polynomial matrix $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times k}$ is a $\vec{s}$-minimal (right) kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ if $\mathbf{N}$ is a kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ is $\vec{s}$-column reduced. We also call a $\vec{s}$-minimal (right) kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ a $(\mathbf{F}, \vec{s})$-kernel basis.

We will need the following result from [19] to bound the sizes of kernel bases.

Theorem 5. *Suppose $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times n}$ and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\ge 0}$ is a shift with entries bounding the corresponding column degrees of $\mathbf{F}$. Then the sum of the $\vec{s}$-column degrees of any $\vec{s}$-minimal kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ is bounded by $\sum \vec{s}$.*

A column basis of $\mathbf{F}$ is a basis for the $\mathbb{K}[x]$-module

$$\{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{p} \mid \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^n\} .$$

Such a basis can be represented as a full rank matrix $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times r}$ whose columns are the basis elements. A column basis is not unique and indeed any column basis right multiplied by a unimodular polynomial matrix gives another column basis.

The cost of kernel basis computation is given in [19] while the cost of column basis computation is given in [17]. In both cases they make heavy use of fast methods for order bases (also sometimes referred to as sigma bases or minimal approximant bases) [2, 9, 16].

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times n}$ with $\vec{s} = \operatorname{cdeg}\mathbf{F}$. Then a $(\mathbf{F}, \vec{s})$-kernel basis can be computed with a cost of $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega}s)$ field operations where $s = \sum \vec{s}/n$ is the average column degree of $\mathbf{F}$.*

Theorem 7. *The algorithm from [17] can compute a column basis of a polynomial matrix* $\mathbf{F}$ *deterministically with* $O^{\sim}\left(nm^{\omega-1}s\right)$ *field operations in* $\mathbb{K}$*, where* s *is the average average column degree of* $\mathbf{F}$*. In addition, if* r *is the rank of* $\mathbf{F}$*, then the column basis computed has column degrees bounded by the* r *largest column degrees of* $\mathbf{F}$*,*

Example 8. Let

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} x & -x^3 & -2\,x^4 & 2x & -x^2 \\ 1 & -1 & -2\,x & 2 & -x \\ -3 & 3\,x^2+x & 2\,x^2 & -x^4+1 & 3\,x \end{bmatrix}$$

be a 3×5 matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_7[x]$ having column degree $\vec{s} = (1,3,4,4,2)$. Then a column space $\mathbf{G}$ and a kernel basis $\mathbf{N}$ of $\mathbf{F}$ are given by

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} x & -x^3 & -2\,x^4 \\ 1 & -1 & -2\,x \\ -3 & 3\,x^2+x & 2\,x^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{N} := \begin{bmatrix} -1 & x \\ -x^2 & 0 \\ -3\,x & 0 \\ -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For example, if $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,5}$ denote the columns of $\mathbf{G}$ then column 4 of $\mathbf{F}$ - denoted by $\mathbf{f}_4$ - is given by

$$\mathbf{f}_4 = -2\ \mathbf{g}_1 - 2x^2\ \mathbf{g}_2 + x\ \mathbf{g}_3 + 2\ \mathbf{g}_4.$$

Here $\operatorname{cdeg}_{\vec{s}}\mathbf{N} = (5,2)$ with shifted leading coefficient matrix

$$\operatorname{lcoeff}_{\vec{s}}(\mathbf{N}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The kernel basis $\mathbf{N}$ satisfies $\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{0}$. Since $\operatorname{lcoeff}_{\vec{s}}(\mathbf{N})$ has full rank, it is $\vec{s}$-column reduced, and we have that $\mathbf{N}$ is a $\vec{s}$-minimal kernel basis. □

Column bases and kernel bases are closely related, as shown by the following result from [15, 17].

Lemma 9. *Let* $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{m\times n}$ *and suppose* $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times n}$ *is a unimodular matrix such that* $\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{U} = [0, \mathbf{T}]$ *with* $\mathbf{T}$ *of full column rank. Partition* $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{U}_L, \mathbf{U}_R]$ *such that* $\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{U}_L = 0$ *and* $\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{U}_R = \mathbf{T}$*. Then*

1. $\mathbf{U}_L$ *is a kernel basis of* $\mathbf{F}$ *and* $\mathbf{T}$ *is a column basis of* $\mathbf{F}$*.*
2. *If* $\mathbf{N}$ *is any other kernel basis of* $\mathbf{F}$*, then* $\mathbf{U}^* = [\mathbf{N},\ \mathbf{U}_R]$ *is unimodular and also unimodularly transforms* $\mathbf{F}$ *to* $[0, \mathbf{T}]$*.*

3. Recursive Computation

In this section we show how to recursively compute the determinant of a nonsingular input matrix $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times n}$ having column degrees $\vec{s}$. The computation makes use of fast kernel basis and column basis computation.

Consider unimodularly transforming $\mathbf{F}$ to

$$\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1 & 0 \\ * & \mathbf{G}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

which eliminates a top right block and gives two square diagonal blocks $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$ in $\mathbf{G}$. Then the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$ can be computed as

$$\det\mathbf{F} = \frac{\det\mathbf{G}}{\det\mathbf{U}} = \frac{\det\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \det\mathbf{G}_2}{\det\mathbf{U}}, \tag{2}$$

which requires us to first compute $\det \mathbf{G}_1$, $\det \mathbf{G}_2$, and $\det \mathbf{U}$. The same procedure can then be applied to compute the determinant of $\mathbf{G}_1$ and the determinant of $\mathbf{G}_2$. This can be repeated recursively until the dimension becomes 1.

One major obstacle of this approach, however, is that the degrees of the unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U}$ and the matrix $\mathbf{G}$ can be too large for efficient computation. To sidestep this issue, we will show that the matrices $\mathbf{G}_1$, $\mathbf{G}_2$, and the scalar $\det \mathbf{U}$ can in fact be computed without computing the entire matrices $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{U}$.

3.1. **Computing the diagonal blocks.** Suppose we want $\mathbf{G}_1$ to have dimension k. We can partition $\mathbf{F}$ as $\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{F}_U \\ \mathbf{F}_D\end{bmatrix}$ with k rows in $\mathbf{F}_U$, and note that both $\mathbf{F}_U$ and $\mathbf{F}_D$ are of full-rank since $\mathbf{F}$ is assumed to be nonsingular. By partitioning $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{U}_L & , & \mathbf{U}_R\end{bmatrix}$, with k columns in $\mathbf{U}_L$, then

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{F}_U \\ \mathbf{F}_D\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{U}_L & \mathbf{U}_R\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{G}_1 & 0 \\ * & \mathbf{G}_2\end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{G}. \tag{3}$$

Notice that the matrix $\mathbf{G}_1$ is nonsingular and is therefore a column basis of $\mathbf{F}_U$. As such this can be efficiently computed as mentioned in Theorem 7. In addition, the column basis algorithm makes the resulting column degrees of $\mathbf{G}_1$ small enough for $\mathbf{G}_1$ to be efficiently used again as the input matrix of a new subproblem in the recursive procedure.

Lemma 10. *The first diagonal block $\mathbf{G}_1$ in $\mathbf{G}$ can be computed with a cost of $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega} s)$ and with column degrees bounded by the k largest column degrees of $\mathbf{F}$.*

For computing the second diagonal block $\mathbf{G}_2$, notice that we do not need a complete unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U}$, as only $\mathbf{U}_R$ is needed to compute $\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{F}_D \mathbf{U}_R$. In fact, Lemma 9 tells us much more. It tells us that the matrix $\mathbf{U}_R$ is a right kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$, which makes the top right block of $\mathbf{G}$ zero. In addition the kernel basis $\mathbf{U}_R$ can be replaced by any other kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}$ to give another unimodular matrix that also transforms $\mathbf{F}_U$ to a column basis and also eliminates the top right block of $\mathbf{G}$.

Lemma 11. *Partition $\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{F}_U \\ \mathbf{F}_D\end{bmatrix}$ and suppose $\mathbf{G}_1$ is a column basis of $\mathbf{F}_U$ and $\mathbf{N}$ a kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}_U$. Then there is a unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U} = [\, * \,,\, \mathbf{N}]$ such that*

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{G}_1 & 0 \\ * & \mathbf{G}_2\end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{F}_D \cdot \mathbf{N}$. If $\mathbf{F}$ is square nonsingular, then $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$ are also square nonsingular.

Note that the blocks represented by the symbol $*$ are not needed in our computation. These blocks may have very large degrees and cannot be computed efficiently.

We have just seen how $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$ can be determined without computing the unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U}$. We still need to make sure that $\mathbf{G}_2$ can be computed efficiently, which can be done by using the existing algorithms for kernel basis computation and the multiplication of matrices with unbalanced degrees. We also require that the column degrees of $\mathbf{G}_2$ be small enough for $\mathbf{G}_2$ to be efficiently used again as the input matrix of a new subproblem in the recursive procedure.

Lemma 12. *The second diagonal block $\mathbf{G}_2$ can be computed with a cost of $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega} s)$ field operations. Furthermore $\sum \operatorname{cdeg} \mathbf{G}_2 \leq \sum \vec{s}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 11 we have that $\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{F}_D \cdot \mathbf{N}$ with $\mathbf{N}$ a kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}_U$. In fact, this kernel basis can be made $\vec{s}$-minimal using the algorithm from [19], and computing such a $\vec{s}$-minimal kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}_U$ costs $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega} s)$ field operations by Theorem 6. In addition, from Theorem 5 the sum of the $\vec{s}$-column degrees of such a $\vec{s}$-minimal $\mathbf{N}$ is bounded by $\sum \vec{s}$.

For the matrix multiplication $\mathbf{F}_D \cdot \mathbf{N}$, the sum of the column degrees of $\mathbf{F}_D$ and the sum of the $\vec{s}$-column degrees of $\mathbf{N}$ are both bounded by $\sum \vec{s}$. Therefore we can apply Theorem 2 directly to multiply $\mathbf{F}_D$ and $\mathbf{N}$ with a cost of $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega} s)$ field operations.

The second statement follows from Lemma 1. □

The computation of a kernel basis $\mathbf{N}$ of $\mathbf{F}_U$ is actually also used as an intermediate step by the column basis algorithm for computing the column basis $\mathbf{G}_1$ [17]. In other words, we can get this kernel basis from the computation of $\mathbf{G}_1$ with no additional work.

3.2. **Determinant of the unimodular matrix.** Lemma 10 and Lemma 12 show that the two diagonal blocks in (1) can be computed efficiently. In order to compute the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$ using (2), we still need to know the determinant of the unimodular matrix $\mathbf{U}$ satisfying (3), or equivalently, we can also find out the determinant of $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U}^{-1}$. The column basis computation from [17] for computing the diagonal block $\mathbf{G}_1$ also gives $\mathbf{U}_R$, the matrix consisting of the right $(n-k)$ columns of $\mathbf{U}$, which is also a right kernel basis of $\mathbf{F}_U$. In fact, this column basis computation also gives a right factor multiplied with the column basis $\mathbf{G}_1$ to give $\mathbf{F}_U$. The following lemma shows that this right factor coincides with the the matrix $\mathbf{V}_U$ consisting of the top k rows of $\mathbf{V}$. The column basis computation therefore gives both $\mathbf{U}_R$ and $\mathbf{V}_U$ with no additional work.

Lemma 13. *Let k be the dimension of $\mathbf{G}_1$. The matrix $\mathbf{V}_U \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{k\times n}$ satisfies $\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \mathbf{V}_U = \mathbf{F}_U$ if and only if $\mathbf{V}_U$ is the submatrix of $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ consisting of the top k rows of $\mathbf{V}$.*

Proof. The proof follows directly from

$$\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1 & 0 \\ * & \mathbf{G}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_U \\ \mathbf{V}_D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_U \\ \mathbf{F}_D \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{F}.$$

□

While the determinant of $\mathbf{V}$ or the determinant of $\mathbf{U}$ is needed to compute the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$, a major problem is that we do not know $\mathbf{U}_L$ or $\mathbf{V}_D$, which may not be efficiently computed due to their large degrees. This means we need to compute the determinant of $\mathbf{V}$ or $\mathbf{U}$ without knowing the complete matrix $\mathbf{V}$ or $\mathbf{U}$. The following lemma shows how this can be done using just $\mathbf{U}_R$ and $\mathbf{V}_U$, which are obtained from the computation of the column basis $\mathbf{G}_1$.

Lemma 14. *Let $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{U}_L, \mathbf{U}_R]$ and $\mathbf{F}$ be as before, that is, they satisfy*

$$\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_U \\ \mathbf{F}_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_L & \mathbf{U}_R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1 & 0 \\ * & \mathbf{G}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{G},$$

where the row dimension of $\mathbf{F}_U$, the column dimension of $\mathbf{U}_L$, and the dimension of $\mathbf{G}_1$ are k. Let $\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_U \\ \mathbf{V}_D \end{bmatrix}$ be the inverse of $\mathbf{U}$ with k rows in $\mathbf{V}_U$. If $\mathbf{U}_L^* \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times k}$ is a matrix such that $\mathbf{U}^* = [\mathbf{U}_L^*, \mathbf{U}_R]$ is unimodular, then

$$\det \mathbf{F} \;=\; \frac{\det \mathbf{G} \cdot \det\left(\mathbf{V}_U \mathbf{U}_L^*\right)}{\det\left(\mathbf{U}^*\right)}.$$

Proof. Since $\det \mathbf{F} = \det \mathbf{G} \cdot \det \mathbf{V}$, we just need to show that $\det \mathbf{V} = \det\left(\mathbf{V}_U \mathbf{U}_L^*\right) / \det\left(\mathbf{U}^*\right)$. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{V} \cdot \det \mathbf{U}^* &= \det\left(\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{U}^*\right) \\ &= \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_U \\ \mathbf{V}_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_L^* & \mathbf{U}_R \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V}_U \mathbf{U}_L^* & 0 \\ * & I \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \det\left(\mathbf{V}_U \mathbf{U}_L^*\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 14 shows that the determinant of $\mathbf{V}$ can be computed using $\mathbf{V}_U$, $\mathbf{U}_R$, and a unimodular completion $\mathbf{U}^*$ of $\mathbf{U}_R$. In fact, this can be made more efficient still by noticing that the higher degree parts do not affect the computation.

Lemma 15. *If $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n\times n}$ is unimodular, then* $\det \mathbf{U} = \det\left(\mathbf{U} \mod x\right) = \det\left(\mathbf{U}(0)\right)$.

Algorithm 1 determinant($\mathbf{F}$)

Input: $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n \times n}$, nonsingular.
Output: the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$.

1: $\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{F}_U \\ \mathbf{F}_D\end{bmatrix} := \mathbf{F}$, with $\mathbf{F}_U$ consists of the top $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ rows of $\mathbf{F}$;
2: **if** $n = 1$ **then return** $\mathbf{F}$; **endif**;
3: $\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{U}_R, \mathbf{V}_U :=$ ColumnBasis($\mathbf{F}_U$);
   Note: Here ColumnBasis() also returns the kernel basis $\mathbf{U}_R$ and the right factor $\mathbf{V}_U$ it computed in addition to the column basis $\mathbf{G}_1$.
4: $\mathbf{G}_2 := \mathbf{F}_D \mathbf{U}_R$;
5: $U_R := \mathbf{U}_R \mod x$; $V_U := \mathbf{V}_U \mod x$;
6: Compute $U_L^* \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times k}$, a matrix that makes $U^* = [U_L^*, U_R]$ unimodular;
7: $d_V := \det(V_U U_L^*) / \det(U^*)$;
8: $\mathbf{d}_G :=$ determinant($\mathbf{G}_1$) $\cdot$ determinant($\mathbf{G}_2$);
9: **return** $d_V \cdot \mathbf{d}_G$;

Proof. Note that $\det(\mathbf{U}(\alpha)) = (\det \mathbf{U})(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, that is, the result is the same whether we do evaluation before or after computing the determinant. Taking $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{U} \mod x) = \det(\mathbf{U}(0)) = (\det \mathbf{U})(0) = \det(\mathbf{U}) \mod x = \det \mathbf{U}.$$

□

Lemma 15 allows us to use just the degree zero coefficient matrices in the computation. Hence Lemma 14 can be improved as follows.

Lemma 16. *Let* $\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{U}_L, \mathbf{U}_R]$, *and* $\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{V}_U \\ \mathbf{V}_D\end{bmatrix}$ *be as before. Let* $U_R = \mathbf{U}_R \mod x$ *and* $V_U = \mathbf{V}_U \mod x$ *be the constant matrices of* $\mathbf{U}_R$ *and* $\mathbf{V}_U$, *respectively. If* $U_L^* \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times *}$ *is a matrix such that* $U^* = [U_L^*, U_R]$ *is unimodular, then*

$$\det \mathbf{F} = \frac{\det \mathbf{G} \cdot \det(V_U U_L^*)}{\det(U^*)}.$$

Proof. Lemma 15 implies that $\det \mathbf{V} = \det V$ and $\det \mathbf{U}^* = \det U^*$. These can then be substituted in the proof of Lemma 14 to obtain the result. □

Remark 17. Lemma 16 requires us to compute $U_L^* \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times *}$ a matrix such that $U^* = [U_L^*, U_R]$ is unimodular. This can be obtained from the unimodular matrix that transforms V_U to its reduced column echelon form computed using the Gauss Jordan transform algorithm from [13] with a cost of $O\left(nm^{\omega-1}\right)$ where m is the column dimension of U_L^*.

We now have all the ingredients needed for computing the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$. A recursive algorithm is given in Algorithm 1, which computes the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$ as the product of the determinant of $\mathbf{V}$ and the determinant of $\mathbf{G}$. The determinant of $\mathbf{G}$ is computed by recursively computing the determinants of its diagonal blocks $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$.

Example 18. In order to see correctness of the algorithm, let

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} x & -x^3 & -2x^4 & 2x & -x^2 \\ 1 & -1 & -2x & 2 & -x \\ -3 & 3x^2 + x & 2x^2 & -x^4 + 1 & 3x \\ 0 & 1 & x^2 + 2x - 2 & x^3 + 2x - 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -x^2 + 2 & -2x^3 - 3x + 3 & 2x + 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

working over $\mathbb{Z}_7[x]$. If $\mathbf{F}_U$ denotes the top three rows of $\mathbf{F}$ then a column basis

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x & -x^3 & -2\,x^4 \\ 1 & -1 & -2\,x \\ -3 & 3\,x^2 + x & 2\,x^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and minimal kernel basis

$$\mathbf{U}_R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & x \\ -x^2 & 0 \\ -3x & 0 \\ -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\mathbf{F}_U$ were given in Example 8. The computation of the column basis also gives the right factor

$$\mathbf{V}_U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -x & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The constant term matrices are then

$$U_R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V_U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with Gaussian-Jordan used to fnd a unimodular completion of U_R as

$$U_L^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of $\mathbf{V}$ is then computed as

$$\frac{\det\left(V_U U_L^*\right)}{\det\left(U^*\right)} = -\frac{1}{3} = 2.$$

Recursively computing the determinant of $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$ gives $\det \mathbf{G}_1 = x^6 - x^4$ and $\det \mathbf{G}_2 = x^4 - x$. Accumulating the above gives the determinant of $\mathbf{F}$ as

$$\det \mathbf{F} = \det \mathbf{V} \cdot \det \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot \det \mathbf{G}_2 = 2\left(x^6 - x^4\right)\left(x^4 - x\right) = 2x^{10} - 2x^8 - 2x^7 + 2x^5.$$

□

3.3. **Computational cost.**

Theorem 19. *Algorithm 1 costs $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega} s)$ field operations to compute the determinant of a non-singular matrix $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{K}[x]^{n \times n}$, where s is the average column degree of $\mathbf{F}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 10 and Lemma 12 the computation of the two diagonal blocks $\mathbf{G}_1$ and $\mathbf{G}_2$ costs $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega} s)$ field operations, which dominates the cost of the other operations in the algorithm.

Now consider the cost of the algorithm on a subproblem in the recursive computation. If we let the cost be $g(m)$ for a subproblem whose input matrix has dimension m, by Lemma 10 and Lemma 12 the sum of the column degrees of the input matrix is still bounded by ns, but the average column degree is now bounded by ns/m. The cost on the subproblem is then

$$\begin{aligned} g(m) &\in O^{\sim}(m^{\omega}(ns/m)) + g(\lceil m/2 \rceil) + g(\lfloor m/2 \rfloor) \\ &\subset O^{\sim}(m^{\omega-1} ns) + 2g(\lceil m/2 \rceil) \\ &\subset O^{\sim}(m^{\omega-1} ns). \end{aligned}$$

The cost on the original problem when the dimension $m = n$ is therefore $O^{\sim}(n^{\omega} s)$. □

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have given a new, fast, deterministic algorithm for computing the determinant of a nonsingular polynomial matrix. Our method relies on the efficient, deterministic computation of the diagonal elements of a triangularization of the input matrix. This in turn relies on recent efficient methods [17, 19] for computing shifted minimal kernel and column bases of polynomial matrices.

In a future report we will show how our triangularization technique results in a fast, deterministic algorithm for finding a Hermite normal form. Other directions of interest include making use of the diagonalization procedures in domains such as matrices of differential or, more generally, of Ore operators, particularly for computing normal forms. Partial results had been reported in [6], at least in the case of Popov normal forms. Similarly we are interested in applying our block elimination techniques using kernal bases to computing the Dieudonné determinant and quasideterminant of matrices for Ore polynomial rings. These are the two main generalizations of determinants for matrices of noncommutative polynomials. Degree bounds for these noncommutative determinants have been used in [8] for modular computation of normal forms.

References

[1] J. Abbott, M. Bronstein, and T. Mulders. Fast deterministic computation of determinants of dense matrices. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC'99, pages 197–204. ACM Press, 1999.

[2] B. Beckermann and G. Labahn. A uniform approach for the fast computation of matrix-type Padé approximants. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 15(3):804–823, 1994.

[3] B. Beckermann, G. Labahn, and G. Villard. Shifted normal forms of polynomial matrices. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC'99, pages 189–196, 1999.

[4] B. Beckermann, G. Labahn, and G. Villard. Normal forms for general polynomial matrices. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 41(6):708–737, 2006.

[5] J. Bunch and J. Hopcroft. Triangular factorization and inversion by fast matrix multiplication. *Mathematics of Computation*, 28:231–236, 1974.

[6] P. Davies, H. Cheng, and G. Labahn. Computing the Popov Form of Ore polynomial matrices. In *Milestones in Computer Algebra*, pages 149–156, 2008.

[7] W. Eberly, M. Giesbrecht, and G. Villard. On computing the determinant and Smith normal form of an integer matrix. In *Proceedings of 41st IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'2000)*, pages 675–687, 2000.

[8] M. Giesbrecht and M. Sub Kim. Computing the Hermite form of a matrix of Ore polynomials. *Journal of Algebra*, 376:341–362, 2013.

[9] P. Giorgi, C.-P. Jeannerod, and G. Villard. On the complexity of polynomial matrix computations. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC'03, pages 135–142. ACM Press, 2003.

[10] E. Kaltofen. On computing determinants of matrices without divisions. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC'92, pages 342–349. ACM, 1992.

[11] E. Kaltofen and G. Villard. On the complexity of computing determinants. *Computational Complexity*, 13:91–130, 2004.

[12] T. Mulders and A. Storjohann. On lattice reduction for polynomial matrices. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 35(4):377–401, April 2003.

[13] A. Storjohann. *Algorithms for Matrix Canonical Forms*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology—ETH, 2000.

[14] A. Storjohann. High-order lifting and integrality certification. *Journal of Symbolic Computation,*, 36:613–648, 2003.

[15] W. Zhou. *Fast Order Basis and Kernel Basis Computation and Related Problems*. PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, 2012.

[16] W. Zhou and G. Labahn. Efficient algorithms for order basis computation. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 47(7):793–819, 2012.

[17] W. Zhou and G. Labahn. Fast computation of column bases of polynomial matrices. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC'13, pages 379–387. ACM, 2013.

[18] W. Zhou and G. Labahn. Fast computation of unimodular completion of polynomial matrices. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC'14, pages 413–420. ACM, 2014.

[19] W. Zhou, G. Labahn, and A. Storjohann. Computing minimal nullspace bases. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, ISSAC'12, pages 375–382. ACM, 2012.

[20] W. Zhou, G. Labahn, and A. Storjohann. A deterministic algorithm for inverting a polynomial matrix. *Submitted : Journal of Complexity*, 2014.